

# STATE OF THE UNION

US TREASURERS FACE A HOST OF UNFAMILIAR DECISIONS AND A CHANGING INVESTMENT CONTEXT, WITH GREATER MARKET UNCERTAINTY ON THE NEAR HORIZON. ANTHONY J CARFANG TRACKS THE RESPONSES OPEN TO THEM

There can be no doubt that, today, corporate treasurers around the globe have major challenges: slow economic growth, fallout from Basel III, FX volatility and financial crisis regulatory overhang. Treasurers doing business in the US face all these, as well as several issues unique to the US market, namely:

- Rising domestic interest rates;
- New money fund regulations;
- Collateral scarcity;
- Reduced market liquidity;
- Corporate tax-rate imbalances;
- The strong dollar; and
- An eventual unwinding of the Federal Reserve's swollen balance sheet.

In our consulting work, we see US treasurers responding to these challenges in several ways: expanding staff; doing more with more; optimising technology; focusing on cash forecasting; updating policies; and centralising control.

First of all, the challenges – how is the US context affecting corporate treasurers?

## Rising domestic interest rates

After nearly a decade of virtually zero-interest rates, suddenly the cost of US-dollar borrowing is rising. The opportunity cost of suboptimal US-dollar investing is also rising. To make matters worse, higher 'too big to fail' capital requirements are leading to lower deposit and earnings credit rates at big US banks. This is all against a backdrop of lower and even negative deposit rates outside the US.

So a treasurer must make a host of unfamiliar decisions. Borrow long? Invest short? Reach for yield by reducing credit quality, or improve credit quality without forfeiting yield? Take some FX risk and convert investable cash to US dollars?

## New money fund regulations

On 14 October 2016, institutional prime funds must

move to a fluctuating net asset value. Several hundred billion to a trillion dollars may leave prime funds in favour of other investments. No one really knows how much will leave, nor whether the outflow will be temporary (think Y2K). Since funds can invest in maturities of up to 13 months, we are already within the window of impact.

This poses two dilemmas for the treasurer. As an investor, should the company stick with prime funds, maintaining yield, but giving up some liquidity on the implementation date? If not, where should the funds be invested or deposited? Banks certainly have little appetite for new deposits. How much yield is the treasurer willing to forego?

As a borrower, if prime funds shrink dramatically, companies will lose an important source of short-term credit. That's because money funds will have fewer dollars to buy their commercial paper. Some treasurers are already

looking at diversifying funding sources, even at a premium.

## Collateral scarcity

As we have argued throughout the regulatory debate, cumulative effects of myriad new mandates contain seeds of the next debacle. Recently, we've pointed out that additional statutory demand for high-quality liquid assets at banks and trading collateral at clearing houses could easily outstrip supply. This is acute in the US because, unfortunately, the new regulations are procyclical and demand will increase exponentially at the first sign of market stress.

This scarcity has a direct impact on US treasurers. Cost of hedges and other derivative risk management tools will rise and availability will decline. Treasurers are upgrading financial models to determine which hedges have become cost-ineffective, and are explaining to their boards why they may now leave some exposures unhedged. Their challenge is determining how



much risk the company should keep on its own books.

### Reduced market liquidity

Dodd-Frank, in particular the Volcker Rule section, limits the role of banks as market makers. This, in turn, creates a drag on underwriting for all but the most creditworthy borrowers. Dealer inventories have fallen to a generational low. Bid-ask spreads have widened considerably, reportedly for some bonds at an unimaginable 10%. These aberrations have even spilled over into the treasury market.

US treasurers have long enjoyed the world's broadest and deepest capital markets. Regulation is now changing that. Treasurers are actively recalibrating processes in anticipation of not being able to access capital markets as readily as in the past.

### Corporate tax-rate imbalances

The US has one of the highest corporate tax rates in the world, exacerbated by additional tax due when overseas cash is repatriated.

### Strong dollar

The appreciating dollar leads to more challenges. Are sales forecasts still accurate and, if not, should cash and other balance-sheet forecasts be modified? How will it affect the cost of goods sold, and how will that affect supply-chain financing arrangements? How will it impact the company's domestic or foreign customers' credit quality?

These are murky waters to navigate, requiring deep understanding of each line of business, each geography and appropriate analytics for simulations and stress tests.

### Unwinding of the Fed's swollen balance sheet

If there is one wild card for US treasurers, this is it. Most treasurers see this as a dark cloud that greatly increases market risk.

The Fed's balance sheet has grown from \$1 trillion to \$4.5 trillion over seven years, funded by excess bank reserves that have skyrocketed from \$40bn to \$2.5 trillion. What will happen to the markets as the Fed unwinds? What will

boards come to recognise the strategic value of treasury. Increasingly, treasury has become the financial nerve centre of the firm and is being resourced appropriately.

Given all the complexities discussed above, we see treasury functions building their teams. With an expanded remit, they are adding staff who can navigate global markets, manage risk and handle complex analytics – all in addition to the daily blocking and tackling that has always been part of treasury.

### Optimising technology

Treasury technology has improved immeasurably over the past decade. Rather than stand-alone systems, treasurers seek solutions that can integrate into other corporate systems and provide insights and analytics to enhance shareholder value.

Companies still using spreadsheets are quickly abandoning them, as auditors and cybersecurity groups raise red flags.

Even so, most companies using treasury management

But rising rates change all that. US treasurers are now upgrading their cash-forecasting processes, which have historically consisted of limited spreadsheet calendaring functions. Companies are now building sophisticated and integrated methodologies and using tools in their TMSs. They are doing more granular analysis of historical cash flows to improve daily and weekly forecast precision, and more comprehensive statistical analyses of other business variables for intermediate and longer-term forecasts.

### Updating policies and centralising control

US treasurers are taking a hard look at their global treasury organisations. With empowered technology and updated treasury policies, many are able to centralise control and authority, and standardise global processes, while maintaining decentralised operations. They are examining the proper structure of their regional treasury centres and many are setting up payment factories.

Along with this, treasurers are examining the size and skill set of their staff. Many roles are becoming more technical and quantitative. Other roles require interface with both external and internal stakeholders.

The treasurer's role has never been so complex, regardless of where the company operates. In addition to macro factors impacting all firms, US treasurers have some challenges unique to the US economic and regulatory environment. ↗

## A treasurer must make a host of unfamiliar decisions. Borrow long? Invest short? Take some FX risk and convert investable cash to US dollars?

As a result, huge amounts of cash are stranded in some jurisdictions, even as a company must borrow in others.

The US treasurer must first ensure that overseas cash is put to its highest and best use, within tax-code constraints. In addition, the treasurer must optimise a consolidated balance sheet in which investments and borrowings are both artificially inflated by this cash immobilisation. Finally, at some point, this balance sheet stretches historical financial leverage ratios, and could inadvertently violate credit covenants.

happen to liquidity and access to credit when bank reserves decline? These transitions may cause wide price swings and liquidity gaps in a whole range of financial assets.

Unlike the other issues mentioned, this one has no precedent and no proven empirical assessment methodology. It's an exogenous risk that the treasurer must manage.

So how are US corporate treasurers responding?

### Doing more with more

After the financial crisis, companies faced tight budgets, but treasury was generally spared the axe. CEOs and

systems (TMSs) still have much work to do. They have generally done a good job with bank connectivity and cash visibility. Now they are moving on to higher-order analytics and modules, such as hedge accounting, risk management, in-house banking and cash forecasting.

### Focusing on cash forecasting

For several years of zero-interest rates, the opportunity cost of bad forecasting has been close to zero. Idle cash has not mattered and, as a result, forecasting processes atrophied.

Anthony J Carfang is a partner with US consultancy Treasury Strategies

